

Theory of Computation

Course note based on *Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science*, 2nd edition, authored by Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker.

course note prepared by

Tyng–Ruey Chuang

Week 1, Spring 2010

About This Course Note

- It is prepared for the course *Theory of Computation* taught at the National Taiwan University in Spring 2010.
- It follows very closely the book *Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science*, 2nd edition, by Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers. ISBN: 0-12-206382-1.
- It is available from Tyng-Ruey Chuang's web site:

<http://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~trc/>

and released under a Creative Commons “Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Taiwan” license:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/tw/>

This course aims to cover ...

- the development of computability theory using an extremely simple abstract programming language,
- the various different formulations of computability and their equivalence,
- the expressiveness and limitation of various kinds of automata and formal languages, and
- the basics of the theory of computational complexity.

By the end of this course, you should be able to . . .

- appreciate the existence of universal digital computers,
- understand there are well-defined functions that cannot be computed even by the universal computers,
- know that certain problems are truly harder than others,
- use various formalized computation models to solve your problems, and
- show that some problems are just too difficult for the models at hand.

Textbook

Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker. *Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science*, 2nd edition. February 1994, Morgan Kaufmann. ISBN: 0122063821.

- Written for people who may know programming, but from a mathematical view of the subjects. Enjoyably readable but very rigorous.
- “It is our purpose . . . to provide an introduction to the various aspects of theoretical computer science for undergraduate and graduate students that is sufficiently comprehensive that . . . research papers will become accessible to our readers.” (the authors)
- We will cover just one half of the materials in the book.

Schedule (1/2)

02/24 Preliminaries; A Programming Language. (1; 2.1–2.2)

03/03 Computable Functions; Primitive Recursive Functions. (2.3–2.5; 3.1–3.4)

03/10 Coding Programs by Numbers. (3.5–3.8; 4.1)

03/17 The Halting Problem; Universality. (4.2–4.3)

03/24 Recursively Enumerable Sets. (4.4–4.5)

03/31 Diagonalization and Reducibility. (4.6–4.8)

04/07 (*no class on 04/07*)

04/14 mid-term examination

04/21 A Computable Function That Is Not Primitive Recursive. (4.9)

Schedule (2/2)

04/28 Regular Languages, Part 1. (9.1–9.4)

04/05 Regular Languages, Part 2. (9.5–9.7)

05/12 Context-Free Languages, Part 1. (10.1–10.4)

05/19 Context-Free Languages, Part 2. (10.5–10.9)

05/26 Calculations on Strings (5.1–5.6)

06/02 Turing Machines (6.1–6.5)

06/09 Abstract Complexity (14.1–14.4)

06/16 (*no class on 06/16*)

06/23 **final examination**

Outline of Today's Lecture

- Review some preliminary materials.
- Define an abstract programming language \mathcal{S} that is extremely simple.
- Write some programs in \mathcal{S} .

1 Preliminaries (1)

1.1 Sets, n -tuples, and functions (1.1, 1.2)

Cartesian Product

- If S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n are given sets, then we write $S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$ for the set of all n -tuples (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) such that $a_1 \in S_1, a_2 \in S_2, \dots, a_n \in S_n$.
- $S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$ is called the *Cartesian product* of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n .
- In case $S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_n = S$ we write S^n for the Cartesian product $S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n$.

Functions

- A function f is a set whose members are ordered pairs (i.e., 2-tuples) and has the special property

$$(a, b) \in f \text{ and } (a, c) \in f \text{ implies } b = c.$$

We write $f(a) = b$ to mean that $(a, b) \in f$.

- The set of all a such that $(a, b) \in f$ for some b is called the *domain* of f . The set of all $f(a)$ for a in the domain of f is called the *range* of f .
- A *partial function* on a set S is a function whose domain is a subset of S . If a partial function on S has the domain S , then it is called a *total function*.
- We write $f(a) \downarrow$ and say that $f(a)$ is *defined* if a is in the domain of f ; if a is not in the domain of f , we write $f(a) \uparrow$ and say that $f(a)$ is *undefined*.

Examples of Functions

- Let f be the set of ordered pairs (n, n^2) for $n \in N$. Then, for each $n \in N$, $f(n) = n^2$. The domain of f is N . The range of f is the set of perfect squares. f is a total function.
- Assuming N is our universe, an example of a partial function on N is given by $g(n) = \sqrt{n}$. The domain of g is the set of perfect squares. The range of g is N . g is not a total function.
- For a partial function f on a Cartesian product $S_1 \times S_2, \times \cdots \times S_n$, we write $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ rather than $f((a_1, \dots, a_n))$.
- A partial function f on a set S^n is called an *n-ary* partial function on S , or a function of n variables on S . We use *unary* and *binary* for 1-ary and 2-ary, respectively.

1.2 Predicates (1.4)

Predicate

A *predicate*, or a *Boolean-valued function*, on a set S is a *total function* P on S such that for each $a \in S$, either

$$P(a) = \text{TRUE} \quad \text{or} \quad P(a) = \text{FALSE}$$

We also identify the truth value TRUE with number 1 and the truth value FALSE with number 0.

Logic Connectives

The three *logic connectives*, or *propositional connectives*, $\sim, \vee, \&$ are defined by the two

	p	$\sim p$	p	q	$p \& q$	$p \vee q$
	0	1	1	1	1	1
tables below.	1	0	0	1	0	1
			1	0	0	1
			0	0	0	0

Characteristic Function

Given a predicate P on a set S , there is a corresponding subset R of S consisting of all elements $a \in S$ for which $P(a) = 1$. We write

$$R = \{a \in S \mid P(a)\}.$$

Conversely, given a subset R of a given set S , the expression $x \in R$ defines a predicate P on S :

$$P(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in R \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin R. \end{cases}$$

The predicate P is called the *characteristic function* of the set R . Note the easy translations between the two notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in S \mid P(x) \& Q(x)\} &= \{x \in S \mid P(x)\} \cap \{x \in S \mid Q(x)\}, \\ \{x \in S \mid P(x) \vee Q(x)\} &= \{x \in S \mid P(x)\} \cup \{x \in S \mid Q(x)\}, \\ \{x \in S \mid \sim P(x)\} &= S - \{x \in S \mid P(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

1.3 Quantifiers (1.5)

Bounded Existential Quantifier

Let $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a $(n+1)$ -ary predicate. Let predicate $Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= P(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\vee P(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\vee \dots \\ &\vee P(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

That is, $Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true if there is a value $t \leq y$ such that $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true. We write this predicate Q as

$$(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

“ $(\exists t)_{\leq y}$ ” is called a *bounded existential quantifier*.

Bounded Universal Quantifier

Let $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a $(n + 1)$ -ary predicate. Let predicate $Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= P(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\& P(1, x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &\& \dots \\ &\& P(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

That is, $Q(y, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true if for all value $t \leq y$ such that $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true. We write this predicate Q as

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

“ $(\forall t)_{\leq y}$ ” is called a *bounded universal quantifier*.

1.4 Proofs (1.6, 1.7)

Proof by Contradiction

In a *proof by contradiction*, we begin by assuming the assertion we wish to prove is *false*. We then derive a contradiction based on this (faulty) assumption along with (faultless) logical reasoning. We then conclude that the original assertion must be true.

Proof by Contradiction: Example

Prove that the equation $2 = (m/n)^2$ has no solution $m, n \in N$. *Proof.* Assume $2 = (m/n)^2$ *has* a solution $m, n \in N$. Then it must also have a solution where not both m and n are even. This is so because we can repeatedly “cancel” 2 from m and n until at least one of them becomes *odd*, and still have the two “reduced” numbers as a solution. However, the equation $2 = (m/n)^2$ can be rewritten as $m^2 = 2n^2$ which shows that m must be even. Let $m = 2k$, then $m^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2$. But this implies $n^2 = 2k^2$. Thus n is even. Now both m and n are even, which is a contradiction. We conclude that $2 = (m/n)^2$ has no solution $m, n \in N$. \square

Mathematical Induction

Given a predicate $P(x)$, and the assertion “ $P(n)$ is true for all $n \in N$ ”, we can use mathematical induction to try to establish this assertion. One proceeds by proving a pair of auxiliary statements about $P(x)$, namely,

$$P(0)$$

and

$$\text{For all } n \in N, P(n) \text{ implies } P(n + 1)$$

In the second statement above, $P(n)$ is called an induction hypothesis. If both statements above are proved to be true, one then concludes that

$$\text{For all } n \in N, P(n)$$

Mathematical Induction: Example

Prove that for all $n \in N$, $\sum_{i=0}^n (2i + 1) = (n + 1)^2$. *Proof.* For $n = 0$, then $\sum_{i=0}^0 (2i + 1) = 1 = (0 + 1)^2$, which is true. It remains to show that for all $n \in N$, if $\sum_{i=0}^n (2i + 1) = (n + 1)^2$ is true, then $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (2i + 1) = (n + 2)^2$ is also true. We expand $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (2i + 1)$ by its definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (2i + 1) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (2i + 1) + 2(n + 1) + 1 \\ &= (n + 1)^2 + 2(n + 1) + 1 \quad (\text{by induction hypothesis}) \\ &= (n + 2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that for all $n \in N$, $\sum_{i=0}^n (2i + 1) = (n + 1)^2$. □

2 Programs and Computable Functions (2)

2.1 A Programming Language (2.1)

The Programming Language \mathcal{S}

- Values: natural numbers only, but of unlimited precision.
- Variables:
 - Input variables X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots
 - An output variable Y
 - Local variables Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, \dots
- Instructions:
 - $V \leftarrow V + 1$ Increase by 1 the value of the variable V .
 - $V \leftarrow V - 1$ If the value of V is 0, leave it unchanged; otherwise decrease by 1 the value of V .
 - IF** $V \neq 0$ **GOTO** L If the value of V is nonzero, perform the instruction with label L next; otherwise proceed to the next instruction in the list.
- Labels: $A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1, E_1, A_2, B_2, C_2, D_2, E_2, A_3, \dots$
- Exit label: E .
- All variables and labels are in the global scope.

2.2 Some Examples of Programs (2.2)

Programming in \mathcal{S}

- A program is a list (i.e., a finite sequence) of instructions.
- *The output variable Y and the local variables Z_i initially have the value 0.*
- A program halts when there is no more instruction to execute.
- A program also halts if an instruction labeled L is to be executed, but there is no instruction with that label.
- What does this program do?

```
[A]  X ← X - 1  
     Y ← Y + 1  
     IF X ≠ 0 GOTO A
```

A Bug?

- What does this program do?

```
[A]  X ← X - 1  
     Y ← Y + 1  
     IF X ≠ 0 GOTO A
```

- The above program *computes* the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A Program That Computes $f(x) = x$

```
[A]  IF X ≠ 0 GOTO B  
     Z ← Z + 1  
     IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO E  
[B]  X ← X - 1  
     Y ← Y + 1  
     Z ← Z + 1  
     IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO A
```

- What does Z actually do?
- What does the following do?


```
Z ← Z + 1
IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO L
```

- That is an unconditional goto!

```
GOTO L
```

A *Macro* for Unconditional GOTO

- Before macro expansion:

```
[A]  IF X ≠ 0 GOTO B
      GOTO E
[B]  X ← X - 1
      Y ← Y + 1
      GOTO A
```

- After macro expansion:

```
[A]  IF X ≠ 0 GOTO B
      Z1 ← Z1 + 1
      IF Z1 ≠ 0 GOTO E
[B]  X ← X - 1
      Y ← Y + 1
      Z2 ← Z2 + 1
      IF Z2 ≠ 0 GOTO A
```

- *Fresh local variables are always used during macro expansions.*

Copy The Value of Variable X to Variable Y

- [A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO B
GOTO E
- [B] $X \leftarrow X - 1$
 $Y \leftarrow Y + 1$
GOTO A

- Anything wrong?
- The value of X is “destroyed” while copied to Y !

Copy The Value of Variable X to Variable Y , Continued

- [A] IF $X \neq 0$ GOTO B
GOTO C
- [B] $X \leftarrow X - 1$
 $Y \leftarrow Y + 1$
 $Z \leftarrow Z + 1$
GOTO A
- [C] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO D
GOTO E
- [D] $Z \leftarrow Z - 1$
 $X \leftarrow X + 1$
GOTO C

- Anything wrong?
- This program is correct only when Y and Z are initialized to the value 0. It cannot be used as a macro.

A Macro for $V \leftarrow V'$

- $V \leftarrow 0$
- [A] IF $V' \neq 0$ GOTO B
GOTO C
- [B] $V \leftarrow V' - 1$
 $V \leftarrow V + 1$
 $Z \leftarrow Z + 1$
GOTO A
- [C] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO D
GOTO E
- [D] $Z \leftarrow Z - 1$
 $V' \leftarrow V' + 1$
GOTO C

- Anything wrong?
- $V \leftarrow 0$ is not an instruction in \mathcal{S} .

A Macro for $V \leftarrow 0$

- [L] $V \leftarrow V - 1$
IF $V \neq 0$ GOTO L

A Program That Computes $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

```
    Y ← X1
    Z ← X2
[B]  IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO A
      GOTO E
[A]  Z ← Z - 1
      Y ← Y + 1
      GOTO B
```

Note that Z is used to preserve the value of X_2 so that it will not be destroyed during the computation.

A Program That Computes $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$

- ```
 Z2 ← X2
[B] IF Z2 ≠ 0 GOTO A
 GOTO E
[A] Z2 ← Z2 - 1
 Z1 ← X1 + Y
 Y ← Z1
 GOTO B
```
- OK!

**A Shorter Program That Computes  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$ ?**

- ```
    Z2 ← X2
[B]  IF Z2 ≠ 0 GOTO A
      GOTO E
[A]  Z2 ← Z2 - 1
      Y ← X1 + Y
      GOTO B
```
- *NO GOOD!*
- Why?
- The macro for $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

```
    Y ← X1
    Z ← X2
[B]  IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO A
      GOTO E
[A]  Z ← Z - 1
      Y ← Y + 1
      GOTO B
```

- Macro expanding $Y \leftarrow X_1 + Y$:

```

    Y ← X1
    Z ← Y
[B]  IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO A
    GOTO E
[A]  Z ← Z - 1
    Y ← Y + 1
    GOTO B

```

- The above actually computes $f(x_1, x_2) = 2 \cdot x_1$

A Program That Computes $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 \cdot x_2$, Revisited

- Need to macro expand $Z_1 \leftarrow X_1 + Y$.
- After macro expansion:

```

    Z2 ← X2
[B]  IF Z2 ≠ 0 GOTO A
    GOTO E
[A]  Z2 ← Z2 - 1
    Z1 ← X1
    Z3 ← Y
[B2] IF Z3 ≠ 0 GOTO A2
    GOTO E2
[A2] Z3 ← Z3 - 1
    Z1 ← Z1 + 1
    GOTO B2
[E2] Y ← Z1
    GOTO B

```

Note on The Macro Expansion

- The output variable Y in the macro $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ is now fresh variable Z_1 in the expanded form.
- The local variable Z in the macro $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ is now fresh variable Z_3 in the expanded form (as variables Z_1 and Z_2 are already used).
- Fresh labels A_2 , B_2 , and E_2 are used in the expanded form (as the original labels A , B , and E are already used).

- The instruction GOTO E_2 only terminates the addition. The computation must continue to place following the addition. Hence, the instruction immediately following the addition is labeled E_2 .
- *Unlimited supply of fresh local variables and local labels!*
- More about macro expansion next week.

A Final Example

- What does this program compute?

```

      Y ← X1
      Z ← X2
[C]  IF Z ≠ 0 GOTO A
      GOTO E
[A]  IF Y ≠ 0 GOTO B
      GOTO A
[B]  Y ← Y - 1
      Z ← Z - 1
      GOTO C

```

- If we begin with $X_1 = 5$ and $X_2 = 2, \dots$
- If we begin with $X_1 = 2$ and $X_2 = 5, \dots$
- This program computes the following *partial function*

$$g(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1 - x_2 & \text{if } x_1 \geq x_2 \\ \uparrow & \text{if } x_1 < x_2 \end{cases}$$