

Theory of Computation

Course note based on *Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science*, 2nd edition, authored by Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker.

course note prepared by

Tyng-Ruey Chuang

Institute of Information Science, Academia Sinica

Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University

Week 3, Spring 2010

About This Course Note

- ▶ It is prepared for the course *Theory of Computation* taught at the National Taiwan University in Spring 2010.
- ▶ It follows very closely the book *Computability, Complexity, and Languages: Fundamentals of Theoretical Computer Science*, 2nd edition, by Martin Davis, Ron Sigal, and Elaine J. Weyuker. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers. ISBN: 0-12-206382-1.
- ▶ It is available from Tyng-Ruey Chuang's web site:

<http://www.iis.sinica.edu.tw/~trc/>

and released under a Creative Commons
"Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Taiwan" license:

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/tw/>

One-One Functions

- ▶ A function is *one-one* if, for all x, y in the domain of f , $f(x) = f(y)$ implies $x = y$.
- ▶ That is, if $x \neq y$, then $f(x) \neq f(y)$.
- ▶ Function $f(n) = n^2$ is one-one.
- ▶ Function $u_1^2(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ is not one-one as, for example, both $u_1^2(0, 0)$ and $u_1^2(0, 1)$ map to 0.

Onto Functions

- ▶ If the range of f is the set S , then we say f is an *onto* function with respect to S , or simply that f is *onto* S .
- ▶ Function $f(n) = n^2$ is onto the set of perfect squares $\{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, but is not onto \mathbb{N} .
- ▶ Let $S_1 \times S_2$ be domain of function $u_1^2(x_1, x_2) = x_1$, then function $u_1^2(x_1, x_2)$ is onto S_1 .

Programs Accepting Any Number of Inputs

- ▶ We permit each program to be used with any number of inputs.
- ▶ If the program has n input variables, but only $m < n$ are specified, the remaining $n - m$ input variables are assigned the value 0 and the computation proceeds.
- ▶ On the other hand, if $m > n$ values are specified, then the extra input values are ignored.

Programs Accepting Any Number of Inputs, Examples

- ▶ Consider the following program \mathcal{P} that computes $x_1 + x_2$,

$Y \leftarrow X_1$

$Z \leftarrow X_2$

[B] IF $Z \neq 0$ GOTO A

GOTO E

[A] $Z \leftarrow Z - 1$

$Y \leftarrow Y + 1$

GOTO B

- ▶ We have

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}^{(1)}(r_1) = r_1 + 0 = r_1$$

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}^{(3)}(r_1, r_2, r_3) = r_1 + r_2$$

Initial Functions

The following functions are called *initial functions*:

$$\begin{aligned} s(x) &= x + 1, \\ n(x) &= 0, \\ u_i^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Note: Function u_i^n is called the *projection function*. For example, $u_3^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_3$.

Primitive Recursively Closed (PRC)

A class of total functions \mathcal{C} is called a *PRC* class if

- ▶ the initial functions belong to \mathcal{C} ,
- ▶ a function obtained from functions belonging to \mathcal{C} by either composition or recursion also belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Computable Functions are Primitive Recursively Closed

Theorem 3.1. The class of computable functions is a PRC class.

Proof. We have shown computable functions are closed under composition and recursion (Theorem 1.1 & 2.2). We need only verify the initial functions are computable. They are computed by the following programs.

$$s(x) = x + 1 \quad Y \leftarrow X + 1;$$

$$n(x) \quad \text{the empty program};$$

$$u_j^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad Y \leftarrow X_j.$$



Primitive Recursive Functions

A function is called *primitive recursive* if it can be obtained from the initial functions by a finite number of applications of composition and recursion.

Primitive Recursive Functions

A function is called *primitive recursive* if it can be obtained from the initial functions by a finite number of applications of composition and recursion.

Note that, by the above definition and the definition of Primitive Recursively Closed (PRC), it follows that:

Corollary 3.2. The class of primitive recursive function is a PRC class.

Primitive Recursive Functions & PRC Classes

Theorem 3.3. A function is primitive recursive if and only if it belongs to every PRC class.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) If a function belongs to every PRC class, then by Corollary 3.2, it belongs to the class of primitive recursive functions.

(\Rightarrow) If f is primitive recursive, then there is a list of functions f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n such that $f_n = f$ and for each $f_i, 1 \leq i < n$, either

- ▶ f_i is an initial function, or
- ▶ f_i can be obtained from the preceding functions in the list by composition or recursion.

However, the initial functions belong to any PRC class \mathcal{C} . Furthermore, all functions obtained from functions in \mathcal{C} by composition or recursion also belong to \mathcal{C} . It follows that each function $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n = f$ in the above list is in \mathcal{C} .

Primitive Recursive Functions Are Computable

Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable. \square

Primitive Recursive Functions Are Computable

Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable. \square

Note that,

- ▶ If a function f is shown to be primitive recursive, by the above Corollary, f can be expressed as a program in language \mathcal{S} .
- ▶ Not only we know there is program in \mathcal{S} for f , by Theorem 3.1 (1.1 & 2.2), we also know how to write this program.
- ▶ Furthermore, the program so written will always terminate.

Primitive Recursive Functions Are Computable

Corollary 3.4. Every primitive recursive function is computable.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, every primitive recursive function belongs to the PRC class of computable functions so is computable. \square

Note that,

- ▶ If a function f is shown to be primitive recursive, by the above Corollary, f can be expressed as a program in language \mathcal{S} .
- ▶ Not only we know there is program in \mathcal{S} for f , by Theorem 3.1 (1.1 & 2.2), we also know how to write this program.
- ▶ Furthermore, the program so written will always terminate.

However, if a function f is computable (that is, it is total and expressible in \mathcal{S}), it is not necessarily that f is primitive recursive. (A counter example will be shown later in this course.)

Function $f(x, y) = x + y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function f can be defined by the recursion equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 0) &= x, \\ f(x, y + 1) &= f(x, y) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, 0) &= u_1^1(x), \\ f(x, y + 1) &= g(y, f(x, y), x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(x_1, x_2, x_3) = s(u_2^3(x_1, x_2, x_3)).$$

Function $h(x, y) = x \cdot y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function h can be defined by the recursion equations:

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, 0) &= 0, \\ h(x, y + 1) &= h(x, y) + x. \end{aligned}$$

The above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, 0) &= n(x), \\ h(x, y + 1) &= g(y, h(x, y), x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= f(u_2^3(x_1, x_2, x_3), u_3^3(x_1, x_2, x_3)), \\ f(x, y) &= x + y. \end{aligned}$$

Function $h(x) = x!$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $h(x)$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h(0) &= 1, \\ h(t+1) &= g(t, h(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(x_1, x_2) = s(x_1) \cdot x_2.$$

Note that g is primitive recursive because

$$g(x_1, x_2) = s(u_1^2(x_1, x_2)) \cdot u_2^2(x_1, x_2).$$

Function $power(x, y) = x^y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function *power* can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}power(x, 0) &= 1, \\power(x, y + 1) &= power(x, y) \cdot x.\end{aligned}$$

Note that these equations assign the value 1 to the “indeterminate” 0^0 .

The above definition can be further rewritten into

The Predecessor Function Is Primitive Recursive

The predecessor function $pred(x)$ is defined as follows:

$$pred(x) = \begin{cases} x - 1 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that function $pred$ corresponds to the instruction $X \leftarrow X - 1$ in programming language \mathcal{S} .

The above definition can be further rewritten into

Function $x \dot{-} y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is defined as follows:

$$x \dot{-} y = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \geq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Note that function $x \dot{-} y$ is different from function $x - y$, which is undefined if $x < y$. In particular, $x \dot{-} y$ is total while $x - y$ is not.

Function $x \dot{-} y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is defined as follows:

$$x \dot{-} y = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \geq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$$

Note that function $x \dot{-} y$ is different from function $x - y$, which is undefined if $x < y$. In particular, $x \dot{-} y$ is total while $x - y$ is not.

Function $x \dot{-} y$ is primitive recursive because

$$\begin{aligned} x \dot{-} 0 &= x, \\ x \dot{-} (t + 1) &= \text{pred}(x \dot{-} t). \end{aligned}$$

The above definition can be further rewritten into

Function $|x - y|$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $|x - y|$ can be defined as follows:

$$|x - y| = (x \dot{-} y) + (y \dot{-} x)$$

Function $|x - y|$ Is Primitive Recursive

Function $|x - y|$ can be defined as follows:

$$|x - y| = (x \dot{-} y) + (y \dot{-} x)$$

It is primitive recursive because the above definition can be further rewritten into

Is Function $\alpha(x)$ below Primitive Recursive?

Function $\alpha(x)$ is defined as:

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Is Function $\alpha(x)$ below Primitive Recursive?

Function $\alpha(x)$ is defined as:

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is primitive recursive because

$x = y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Is the function $d(x, y)$ below primitive recursive?

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

$x = y$ Is Primitive Recursive

Is the function $d(x, y)$ below primitive recursive?

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

It is because $d(x, y) = \alpha(|x - y|)$.

Is $x \leq y$ Primitive Recursive?

Is $x \leq y$ Primitive Recursive?

It is primitive recursive because $x \leq y = \alpha(x \dot{-} y)$.

Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If P , Q are predicates that belong to \mathcal{C} , then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If P , Q are predicates that belong to \mathcal{C} , then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Proof. We define $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sim P &= \alpha(P) \\ P \& Q &= P \cdot Q \\ P \vee Q &= \sim(\sim P \& \sim Q)\end{aligned}$$

Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursively Closed

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If P, Q are predicates that belong to \mathcal{C} , then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Proof. We define $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sim P &= \alpha(P) \\ P \& Q &= P \cdot Q \\ P \vee Q &= \sim(\sim P \& \sim Q)\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$ all belong to \mathcal{C} . □

Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursive and Computable

Corollary 5.2. If P , Q are primitive recursive predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Logic Connectives Are Primitive Recursive and Computable

Corollary 5.2. If P , Q are primitive recursive predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Corollary 5.3. If P , Q are computable predicates, then so are $\sim P$, $P \vee Q$, and $P \& Q$.

Is $x < y$ Primitive Recursive?

Is $x < y$ Primitive Recursive?

It is primitive recursive because

$$x < y \Leftrightarrow \sim (y \leq x).$$

Definition by Cases

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. Let functions g , h and predicate P belong to \mathcal{C} . Let function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Definition by Cases

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. Let functions g , h and predicate P belong to \mathcal{C} . Let function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then f belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Function f belongs to \mathcal{C} because

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &+ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \alpha(P(x_1, \dots, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$



Definition by Cases, More

Corollary 5.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. Let n -ary functions g_1, \dots, g_m, h and predicates P_1, \dots, P_m belong to \mathcal{C} , and let

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ \& \ P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ and all x_1, \dots, x_n . If

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

then f also belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Definition by Cases, More

Corollary 5.5. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. Let n -ary functions g_1, \dots, g_m, h and predicates P_1, \dots, P_m belong to \mathcal{C} , and let

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \ \& \ P_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$ and all x_1, \dots, x_n . If

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ g_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } P_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

then f also belongs to \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Proved by a mathematical induction on m .

Iterated Operations

Theorem 6.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} , then so do the functions g and h

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$h(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Iterated Operations

Theorem 6.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} , then so do the functions g and h

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$h(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=0}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Proof. Functions g and h each can be recursively defined as

$$\begin{aligned}g(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\g(t+1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= g(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) + f(t+1, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\h(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= f(0, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\h(t+1, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= h(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot f(t+1, x_1, \dots, x_n).\end{aligned}$$

Iterated Operations, More

Corollary 6.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a PRC class. If function $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to \mathcal{C} , then so do the functions

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{t=1}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$h(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{t=1}^y f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In the above, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned}g(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 0, \\h(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Bounded Quantifiers

Theorem 6.3. If predicate $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to some PRC class \mathcal{C} , then so do the predicates

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Bounded Quantifiers

Theorem 6.3. If predicate $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to some PRC class \mathcal{C} , then so do the predicates

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

Proof. We need only observe that

$$(\forall t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow \prod_{t=0}^y P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

and

$$(\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow \sum_{t=0}^y P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$$

Bounded Quantifiers, More

Note that

$$(\forall t)_{<y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\forall t)_{\leq y} [t = y \vee P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)],$$

and

$$(\exists t)_{<y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\exists t)_{\leq y} [t \neq y \ \& \ P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)].$$

Bounded Quantifiers, More

Note that

$$(\forall t)_{<y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\forall t)_{\leq y} [t = y \vee P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)],$$

and

$$(\exists t)_{<y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) \Leftrightarrow (\exists t)_{\leq y} [t \neq y \ \& \ P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)].$$

Therefore, both the quantifiers $(\forall t)_{<y}$ and $(\exists t)_{<y}$ are primitive recursively closed.

$y|x$ Is Primitive Recursive

The “ y is a divisor of x ” predicate $y|x$ is primitive recursive because

$y|x$ Is Primitive Recursive

The “ y is a divisor of x ” predicate $y|x$ is primitive recursive because

$$y|x \Leftrightarrow (\exists t)_{\leq x}(y \cdot t = x).$$

Prime(x) Is Primitive Recursive

The “ x is a prime” predicate $\text{Prime}(x)$ is primitive recursive because

Prime(x) Is Primitive Recursive

The “ x is a prime” predicate $\text{Prime}(x)$ is primitive recursive because

$$\text{Prime}(x) \Leftrightarrow x > 1 \ \& \ (\forall t)_{\leq x} [t = 1 \vee t = x \vee \sim (t|x)].$$

Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function g do?

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^y \prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function g do?

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^y \prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true!

Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function g do?

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^y \prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that

$$P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < t_0,$$

but

$$P(t_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function g do?

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^y \prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that

$$P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < t_0,$$

but

$$P(t_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

Then

$$\prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Bounded Minimalization

What does the following function g do?

$$g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u=0}^y \prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

It computes the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true!

To see why, let $t_0 \leq y$ such that

$$P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t < t_0,$$

but

$$P(t_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$$

Then

$$\prod_{t=0}^u \alpha(P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u < t_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \geq t_0. \end{cases}$$

Hence $g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u < t_0} 1 = t_0$.

Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

$$\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

$$\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true, if such exists; otherwise it assumes the (default) value 0.

Bounded Minimalization, Continued

Define

$$\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} g(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{if } (\exists t)_{\leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$, is the least value $t \leq y$ for which $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is true, if such exists; otherwise it assumes the (default) value 0.

Theorem 7.1. $\min_{t \leq y} P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in PRC class \mathcal{C} if $P(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. By Theorems 5.4 and 6.3. □

$\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ Is Primitive Recursive

$\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ is the “integer part” of the quotient x/y .

$\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ Is Primitive Recursive

$\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ is the “integer part” of the quotient x/y .

The equation

$$\lfloor x/y \rfloor = \min_{t \leq x} [(t + 1) \cdot y > x]$$

shows that $\lfloor x/y \rfloor$ is primitive recursive. Note that according to this definition, $\lfloor x/0 \rfloor = 0$.

$R(x, y)$, The Remainder Function, Is Primitive Recursive

$R(x, y)$ is the remainder when x is divided by y . As we can write

$$R(x, y) = x - (y \cdot \lfloor x/y \rfloor)$$

so that $R(x, y)$ is primitive recursive. Note that $R(x, 0) = x$.

p_n , The n th Prime Number, Is Primitive Recursive

Note that $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5$, etc.

p_n , The n th Prime Number, Is Primitive Recursive

Note that $p_0 = 0, p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5$, etc.

p_n is defined by the following recursive equations

$$p_0 = 0,$$

$$p_{n+1} = \min_{t \leq p_n! + 1} [\text{Prime}(t) \ \& \ t > p_n]$$

so it is primitive recursive.

Note that $p_n! + 1$ is not divisible by any of the primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n . So, either $p_n! + 1$ is itself a prime or it is divisible by a prime greater than p_n . In either case, there is a prime q such that $p_n < q \leq p_n! + 1$.

p_n Is Primitive Recursive, Continued

To be precise, we shall first define a primitive recursive function

$$h(y, z) = \min_{t \leq z} [\text{Prime}(t) \ \& \ t > y].$$

Then we define another primitive function

$$k(x) = h(x, x! + 1)$$

Finally, p_n is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= 0, \\ p_{n+1} &= k(p_n), \end{aligned}$$

and it is concluded that p_n is primitive recursive.

Minimalization, With No Bound

We write

$$\min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$$

for the least value of y for which the predicate P is true *if there is one*. If there is no value of y for which $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is true, then $\min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is *undefined*.

Minimalization, With No Bound

We write

$$\min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$$

for the least value of y for which the predicate P is true *if there is one*. If there is no value of y for which $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is true, then $\min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is *undefined*.

Note that unbounded minimalization of a predicate can easily produce function which is not total. For example,

$$x - y = \min_z [y + z = x]$$

is undefined for $x < y$.

Unbounded Minimalization is Partially Computable

Theorem 7.2. If $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is a computable predicate and if

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$$

then g is a partially computable function.

Unbounded Minimalization is Partially Computable

Theorem 7.2. If $P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is a computable predicate and if

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \min_y P(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$$

then g is a partially computable function.

Proof. The following program computes g :

```
[A]  IF  $P(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)$  GOTO E  
      $Y \leftarrow Y + 1$   
     GOTO A
```



Pairing Functions

- ▶ There is a one-one and onto function from $N \times N$ to N (with domain $N \times N$ and range N). This function is called a pairing function.
- ▶ That is, we can map a pair of numbers to a single number, and back, without losing information. Likewise, we can compute from any number a pair of numbers, and back, without missing anything.
- ▶ The primitive recursive function

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) \dot{-} 1$$

is a pairing function.

- ▶ $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0, \langle 1, 0 \rangle = 1, \langle 0, 1 \rangle = 2, \dots$

The Pairing Function $\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$

- ▶ Note that $2^x(2y + 1) \neq 0$, so

$$\langle x, y \rangle + 1 = 2^x(2y + 1)$$

- ▶ If z is any given number, then there is a *unique* solution x, y to the equation $\langle x, y \rangle = z$.
- ▶ Namely, x is the largest number such that $2^x | (z + 1)$, and y is then the solution of the equation $2y + 1 = (z + 1)/2^x$.
- ▶ The pairing function thus defines two functions l and r such that $x = l(z)$ and $y = r(z)$.

The Pairing Function $\langle x, y \rangle = 2^x(2y + 1) - 1$, Continued

If $\langle x, y \rangle = z$, then $x, y < z + 1$. Hence, $l(z) \leq z$, and $r(z) \leq z$.

We can write

$$l(z) = \min_{x \leq z} [(\exists y)_{\leq z} (z = \langle x, y \rangle)],$$

$$r(z) = \min_{y \leq z} [(\exists x)_{\leq z} (z = \langle x, y \rangle)],$$

so that $l(z)$ and $r(z)$ are primitive recursive functions.

Pairing Function Theorem

Theorem 8.1. The functions $\langle x, y \rangle$, $l(z)$, and $r(z)$ have the following properties:

1. they are primitive recursive;
2. $l(\langle x, y \rangle) = x$, $r(\langle x, y \rangle) = y$;
3. $\langle l(z), r(z) \rangle = z$;
4. $l(z), r(z) \leq z$.

Gödel Number

We define the *Gödel Number* of the sequence (a_1, \dots, a_n) to be the number

$$[a_1, \dots, a_n] = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{a_i}$$

Thus, the the Gödel number of the sequence (3, 1, 5, 4, 6) is

$$[3, 1, 5, 4, 6] = 2^3 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^6$$

For each fixed n , the function $[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ is clearly primitive recursive. Note that the Gödel numbering method encodes and decodes arbitrary finite sequences of numbers.

Uniqueness Property of Gödel Numbering

Theorem 8.2. If $[a_1, \dots, a_n] = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$, then

$$a_i = b_i$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. □

This result is an immediate consequence of the uniqueness of the factorization of integers into primes, sometimes referred to as the *unique factorization theorem*. Note that,

$$1 = 2^0 = 2^0 3^0 = 2^0 3^0 5^0 = \dots,$$

hence it is natural to regard 1 as the Gödel number of the “empty” sequence (i.e., the sequence of length 0).

Function $(x)_i$

We now define a primitive recursive function $(x)_i$ so that if

$$x = [a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

then $(x)_i = a_i$. We set

$$(x)_i = \min_{t \leq x} (\sim p_i^{t+1} | x)$$

Note that $(x)_0 = 0$, and $(0)_i = 0$ for all i .

Function $Lt(x)$

We also define the “length” function Lt ,

$$Lt(x) = \min_{i \leq x} [(x)_i \neq 0 \ \& \ (\forall j)_{\leq x} (j \leq i \ \vee \ (x)_j = 0)]$$

For example, if $x = 20 = 2^2 \cdot 5^1 = [2, 0, 1]$ then

$(x)_1 = 2, (x)_2 = 0, (x)_3 = 1$, but $(x)_4 = 0, (x)_5 = 0, \dots, (x)_i = 0$,
 for all $i \geq 4$. So $Lt(20) = 3$. Note that $Lt(0) = Lt(1) = 0$.

If $x > 1$, and $Lt(x) = n$, then p_n divides x but no prime greater
 than p_n divides x .

Sequence Number Theorem

Theorem 8.3.

1.

$$([a_1, \dots, a_n])_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.

$$[(x)_1, \dots, (x)_n] = x \text{ if } n \geq Lt(x).$$

□